



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
P.O. BOX 1450  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450  
WWW.USPTO.GOV

Paper No. None

CANTOR COLBURN, LLP  
55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH  
BLOOMFIELD CT 06002

**COPY MAILED**

**MAY 24 2006**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of :  
Thomas J. Hartle et al. :  
Application No. 10/667,265 : DECISION ON PETITION  
Filed: September 19, 2003 : UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.181  
Attorney Docket No.: 125855-2 :  
Title: UNDERHOOD COMPONENTS :

This is a decision on the petition filed December 22, 2004, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.181, requesting that the holding of abandonment in the above-identified application be withdrawn.

The Office regrets the period of delay in issuing this decision.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to the non-final Office action, mailed April 15, 2004, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three (3) months. No response was received, and no extensions of time under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. §1.136(a) were requested. Accordingly, the above-identified application became abandoned on July 16, 2004. A notice of abandonment was mailed on November 29, 2004.

With the present petition, Petitioner has alleged that the mailing was not received. The showing required to establish nonreceipt of an Office communication must include a statement from the practitioner stating that the Office communication was not received by the practitioner and attesting to the fact that a search of the file jacket and docket records indicates that the Office communication was not received. In addition, a copy of the docket record where the non-received Office communication would have been entered had it been received and docketed must be attached to and referenced in practitioner's statement<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> See MPEP 711.03(c).

Petitioner has met the requirements of Delgar v. Schuyler, 172 USPQ 513 (D.D.C. 1971), in that he has asserted that he has searched both the file jacket and the docket record, and he has included a copy of the latter.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue, as set forth on petition, it is concluded that Petitioner has met his burden of establishing that the mailing was not received.

Accordingly, the petition under 37 C.F.R. §1.181(a) is **GRANTED**. The holding of abandonment is **WITHDRAWN**.

The Technology Center will be advised of this decision. The Technology Center's technical support staff will re-mail the non-final Office action. The three-month extendable time period for responding to the Office action will be set to run from the mailing date of the re-mailed Office action.

Telephone inquiries regarding this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3225. All other inquiries concerning examination procedures or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.



**Paul Shanowski**  
**Senior Attorney**  
**Office of Petitions**  
**United States Patent and Trademark Office**